



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/IJTDH/1762 http://www.sciencedomain.org/uploads/1333284911-BJAST.docx
Title of the Manuscript:	Association Between Sickle Cell Trait and Low Density Parasitaemia Among Asymptomatic and Parasitaemic individuals Living in <i>P. falciparum</i> Malaria Holoendemic Region of Western Kenya.

(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected' if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
General comment:	An affirmation of the known protective effect of HbAS against severe falciparum malaria.	No action required
Specific comments:		No action required
Title and abstract	Appropriate	No action required
Introduction (Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)	Appropriate	No action required
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	Adequately referenced	No action required
Materials & methods (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)	Appropriate	No action required
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	Valid and succinctly presented. Methods were appropriate.	No action required
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	None	No action required
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	Valid and supportive of what is known.	No action required
References		No action required